Faculty of Environmental Studies

Instructor Rating

INSTRUCTOR: Khan Rahaman
COURSE: GEOG 101
TITLE: Geography of Human Habitat

FILE: G101P1.DAT

TERM/YEAR: S2011

ENROLLMENT: 97
NULL RESPONSES: 2
VALID RESPONSES: 78
RESPONSE RATE: 80%

	FREQUENCY						Median	PERCENTAGE					
	NA	U 1	2	S 3	4	E 5		NA	U 1	2	S 3	4	E 5
RATING OF COURSE	**0**	**2**	**6**	**25**	**21**	**15**	**4**	**0%**	**3%**	**7%**	**37%**	**31%**	**22%**
a) outline	0	3	5	19	26	22	4	0%	4%	6%	25%	36%	29%
b) organization	0	1	8	19	28	20	4	0%	1%	11%	25%	37%	26%
c) readings	0	5	5	26	22	20	4	0%	6%	6%	33%	28%	26%
d) assignments	0	2	8	23	21	24	4	0%	3%	10%	29%	27%	31%
e) fairness	0	1	3	16	30	27	4	0%	1%	4%	21%	39%	35%
f) amount learned	0	7	3	26	19	23	4	0%	9%	4%	33%	24%	29%
RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	**2**	**1**	**7**	**16**	**21**	**26**	**4**	**3%**	**1%**	**10%**	**22%**	**29%**	**35%**
a) knowledge of subject	0	0	3	14	25	35	4	0%	0%	4%	18%	32%	45%
b) communication skills	0	10	8	23	24	13	3	0%	13%	10%	29%	31%	17%
c) effectiveness	0	7	12	17	25	17	4	0%	9%	15%	22%	32%	22%
d)commitment/enthusiasm	0	1	5	13	29	30	4	0%	1%	6%	17%	37%	38%
e) professional conduct	0	2	5	13	25	33	4	0%	3%	6%	17%	32%	42%
f) availability	13	2	5	8	24	24	4	17%	3%	7%	11%	32%	32%
g) helpfulness	2	1	8	17	22	27	4	3%	1%	10%	22%	29%	35%
RATING OF FACILITIES	**3**	**0**	**1**	**12**	**30**	**32**	**4**	**4%**	**0%**	**1%**	**15%**	**38%**	**41%**
Instructor's Optional questions													
a)	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%
b)	0	0	0	1	0	3	5	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%
c)	0	0	0	1	0	3	5	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%
WORK REQUIRED	**0**	**8**	**0**	**56**	**0**	**9**	**3**	**0%**	**11%**	**0%**	**77%**	**0%**	**12%**

Faculty of Environmental Studies

Instructor Rating

INSTRUCTOR:	Khan Rahaman	TERM/YEAR:	S2012
COURSE:	GEOG 203		
TITLE:	Environment and Development in a Global Perspective		
		ENROLLMENT:	212
FILE:	C203P1.DAT	NULL RESPONSES:	1
		VALID RESPONSES:	112
		RESPONSE RATE:	53%

	FREQUENCY						Median	PERCENTAGE					
	NA	U 1	2	S 3	4	E 5		NA	U 1	2	S 3	4	E 5
RATING OF COURSE	**0**	**2**	**4**	**33**	**50**	**18**	**4**	**0%**	**2%**	**4%**	**31%**	**47%**	**17%**
a) outline	0	1	6	13	55	37	4	0%	1%	5%	12%	49%	33%
b) organization	0	1	5	26	39	40	4	0%	1%	5%	23%	35%	36%
c) readings	0	2	4	22	46	36	4	0%	2%	4%	20%	42%	33%
d) assignments	0	11	4	28	40	29	4	0%	10%	4%	25%	36%	26%
e) fairness	0	2	8	18	46	38	4	0%	2%	7%	16%	41%	34%
f) amount learned	0	8	3	32	47	21	4	0%	7%	3%	29%	42%	19%
RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	**0**	**2**	**5**	**32**	**41**	**23**	**4**	**0%**	**2%**	**5%**	**31%**	**40%**	**22%**
a) knowledge of subject	2	1	2	11	53	43	4	2%	1%	2%	10%	47%	38%
b) communication skills	0	7	22	31	30	19	3	0%	6%	20%	28%	28%	17%
c) effectiveness	0	8	17	26	37	24	4	0%	7%	15%	23%	33%	21%
d)commitment/enthusiasm	0	1	4	27	43	35	4	0%	1%	4%	26%	39%	32%
e) professional conduct	1	0	3	16	45	46	4	1%	0%	3%	14%	41%	41%
f) availability	16	0	2	16	38	38	4	15%	0%	2%	15%	35%	35%
g) helpfulness	5	3	2	20	45	35	4	5%	3%	2%	18%	41%	32%
RATING OF FACILITIES	**1**	**1**	**1**	**8**	**43**	**56**	**5**	**1%**	**1%**	**1%**	**7%**	**39%**	**51%**
Instructor's Optional questions													
a)	1	0	0	1	6	2	4	10%	0%	0%	10%	60%	20%
b)	1	0	0	1	5	2	4	11%	0%	0%	11%	56%	22%
c)	1	0	0	2	5	1	4	11%	0%	0%	22%	56%	11%
WORK REQUIRED	**0**	**4**	**0**	**64**	**0**	**27**	**3**	**0%**	**4%**	**0%**	**67%**	**0%**	**28%**

Faculty of Environmental Studies

Instructor Rating

INSTRUCTOR: Rahaman Khan
COURSE: GEOG 101
TITLE: Geography and Human Habitat

FILE: G101P1.DAT

TERM/YEAR: W2013

ENROLLMENT: 309
NULL RESPONSES: 4
VALID RESPONSES: 212
RESPONSE RATE: 69%

	FREQUENCY						Median	PERCENTAGE					
	NA	U 1	2	S 3	4	E 5		NA	U 1	2	S 3	4	E 5
RATING OF COURSE	0	9	**24**	62	65	31	**4**	0%	**5%**	**13%**	**32%**	**34%**	**16%**
a) outline	0	3	10	65	65	68	4	0%	1%	5%	31%	31%	32%
b) organization	0	6	12	66	65	61	4	0%	3%	6%	32%	31%	29%
c) readings	1	9	14	79	66	43	4	0%	4%	7%	37%	31%	20%
d) assignments	1	15	18	68	72	38	4	0%	7%	8%	32%	34%	18%
e) fairness	0	12	16	51	65	47	4	0%	6%	8%	24%	40%	22%
f) amount learned	1	14	26	63	68	39	4	0%	7%	12%	30%	32%	19%
RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	**0**	**14**	**25**	**53**	**54**	**48**	**4**	**0%**	**7%**	**13%**	**28%**	**28%**	**24%**
a) knowledge of subject	0	2	16	32	79	81	4	0%	1%	8%	15%	38%	39%
b) communication skills	0	30	33	54	54	40	3	0%	14%	16%	26%	26%	19%
c) effectiveness	0	28	36	64	47	39	3	0%	12%	17%	30%	22%	18%
d)commitment/enthusiasm	1	12	15	61	66	56	4	0%	6%	7%	29%	31%	27%
e) professional conduct	2	5	14	48	87	74	4	1%	2%	7%	23%	32%	35%
f) availability	37	3	5	42	62	61	4	18%	1%	2%	20%	30%	29%
g) helpfulness	15	12	11	55	62	57	4	7%	6%	5%	26%	29%	27%
RATING OF FACILITIES	3	3	**5**	**29**	**78**	**89**	4	1%	1%	**2%**	**14%**	**38%**	**43%**
Instructor's Optional questions													
a)	10	1	0	3	3	10	5	37%	4%	0%	11%	11%	37%
b)	10	1	0	3	3	10	5	37%	4%	0%	11%	11%	37%
c)	11	1	0	2	3	11	5	39%	4%	0%	7%	11%	39%
WORK REQUIRED	0	19	**0**	165	**0**	19	3	0%	**9%**	**0%**	**81%**	**0%**	**9%**

KLU Teaching Evaluation Results -- Final Copy July 7, 2012

Course: [illegible] 80 Instructor: Esberen, Ebba Winter 2012

45 students participated in this survey.
46 students were registered in the course.

Summary of scale results are percentages.

	Missing	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	mean	median	mode	dept mean	dept median	dept mode	univ mean	univ median	univ mode
1. This course contributed to my learning.	3.0	34.1	17.8	41.3	8.9	2.2	0.0	0.4	5.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.0
2. The content of this course is consistent with the course outline and calender description.	0.0	33.3	27.8	33.3	5.0	1.1	1.2	0.0	5.8	5.0	1.5	5.6	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.0	5.0
3. The instructor is well prepared for each class meeting.	4.4	48.9	33.3	11.1	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.0	5.0	5.6	6.2	7.0	6.3	7.0	6.0
4. The instructor gives clear explanations	4.4	21.1	8.9	24.4	17.8	17.8	15.6	8.7	3.8	4.0	1.6	5.5	5.8	6.0	5.8	6.0	6.0
5. The instructor stimulates my interest in the subject matter of the course.	2.2	8.4	24.4	33.3	15.5	13.3	8.9	5.9	4.5	5.0	1.7	5.1	6.0	5.5	5.9	5.0	6.0
6. The instructor assists students in dealing with course material and concepts.	4.4	15.9	26.7	37.8	11.1	6.4	4.4	6.0	5.5	6.0	1.4	5.6	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.5	6.0
7. The instructor speaks clearly and audibly.	4.4	2.2	8.9	13.3	13.3	17.8	30.0	20.0	3.2	3.0	1.7	5.8	6.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
8. The instructor gives a clear idea of the requirements in this course.	8.9	28.9	20.0	22.2	6.4	13.3	3.0	0.0	5.2	6.0	1.7	5.7	6.0	5.0	6.0	5.4	6.0
9. The instructor displays an interest in and concern for students.	4.4	43.0	31.1	11.1	5.7	4.4	0.0	0.0	5.9	6.0	1.7	5.8	6.0	6.1	7.0	6.1	7.0

Notes: - 7 on scale indicates Strongly Agree
- 1 on scale indicates Strongly Disagree
- mean on report, scale for median statistic.

Teaching Evaluation Results

Course: [illegible] Instructor: [illegible] Winter 2013
13 students participated in this survey.
13 students were registered in the course.

Summary of scale results are percentages.

	N rating	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	mean	median	stdev	most agree	most agree	from agree	from agree	unity agree	unity agree
1. This course contributed to my learning.	0.0	7.7	65.3	30.8	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	5.[illegible]	5.0	1.2	6.5	6.0	5.7	6.3	5.8	6.0
2. The content of this course is consistent with the course outline and calendar description.	0.0	25.1	46.2	12.4	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	5.7	5.0	1.2	6.1	6.0	6.2	7.0	6.4	7.0
3. The instructor is well prepared for each class meeting.	0.0	61.5	23.1	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.[illegible]	7.0	0.8	6.7	7.0	6.6	7.0	6.5	7.0
4. The instructor gives clear explanations	0.0	0.0	30.8	53.8	0.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	4.9	5.0	1.2	5.7	6.0	5.8	6.0	5.8	6.0
5. The instructor stimulates my interest in the subject matter of the course.	0.0	15.4	7.7	38.5	23.1	15.4	0.0	0.0	5.[illegible]	5.0	1.0	5.4	6.0	5.5	6.0	5.5	6.0
6. The instructor assists students in dealing with course material and concepts	0.0	30.8	38.5	15.4	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	6.0	1.1	5.8	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.0
7. The instructor speaks clearly and audibly.	0.0	0.0	30.8	45.[illegible]	7.7	7.7	7.7	0.0	4.[illegible]	5.0	1.3	6.3	7.0	6.3	7.0	6.3	7.0
8. The instructor gives a clear idea of the requirements in this course.	0.0	30.8	15.4	23.1	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	5.0	1.3	5.8	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.0
9. The instructor displays an interest in and concern for students.	0.0	76.9	38.5	0.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	6.1	6.0	1.3	6.0	7.0	6.1	7.0	6.1	7.0

Notes: 7 on scale indicates Strongly Agree
1 on scale indicates Strongly Disagree
mean on reports stands for median stat sig.

RECEIVED JUN 0 5 2013